

<b>Motion title</b>	<b>Student Participatory Fund</b>
<b>Proposer</b>	Kit Boulting-Hodge
<b>Seconder</b>	<i>Karina Whelan</i>
<b>Motion Summary</b>	To give parts of the SU purse directly to students, we claim to be for students and ran by students but most do not have any say where we put our money. We also suffer from a culture of Apathy because students do not see value of being involved – hence a lack of uptake of Network Leads
<b>Union Notes</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. We have a democratic deficit.</li> <li>2. Students have no direct input where we spend our budget.</li> <li>3. Having a large project brings a sense of belonging</li> </ol>
<b>Union Believes</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. This will encourage uptake in volunteering opportunities and being involved with the SU.</li> <li>2. This gives our structures a purpose and value in giving up time.</li> <li>3. We can protect the charity by having procedures in place to make sure nothing is offensive or discriminatory.</li> </ol>
<b>Union Resolves</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Sub councils of SC will bring three motions and then will be debated which is the best via vote.</li> <li>2. Oversight of the project by the Student Voice Co-ordinator.</li> <li>3. We must allocate money immediately to this project when not projected to be in deficit</li> </ol>